

PART A - Initial Equality Screening Assessment

As a public authority we need to ensure that all our strategies, policies, service and functions, both current and proposed have given proper consideration to equality and diversity.

A **screening** process can help judge relevance and provide a record of both the process and decision. Screening should be a short, sharp exercise that determines relevance for all new and revised strategies, policies, services and functions.

Completed at the earliest opportunity it will help to determine:

- the relevance of proposals and decisions to equality and diversity
- whether or not equality and diversity is being/has already been considered, and
- whether or not it is necessary to carry out an Equality Analysis (Part B).

Further information is available in the Equality Screening and Analysis Guidance – see page 9.

1. Title				
Title: Officer Review of Rotherham MBC House to House Collections Policy				
Directorate: Regeneration and	Service area: Community Safety and			
_				
Environment	Street Scene			
Lead person: Alan Pogorzelec	Contact: Alan Pogorzelec			
•	-			
Is this a:				
X Strategy / Policy Service / Function Other				
If other, please specify				

2. Please provide a brief description of what you are screening

The Council's current House to House Collections Policy was adopted by Cabinet in December 2023 and is due to be formally reviewed in 2029. As the policy has now been in place for 12 months, it is considered appropriate for officers to carry out a review to assess the effectiveness of the policy in delivering its aims and objectives, and to establish whether any amendment is required in advance of the 2029 formal review.

3. Relevance to equality and diversity

All the Council's strategies/policies, services/functions affect service users, employees or the wider community – borough wide or more local. These will also have a greater/lesser relevance to equality and diversity.

The following questions will help you to identify how relevant your proposals are.

When considering these questions think about age, disability, sex, gender reassignment, race, religion or belief, sexual orientation, civil partnerships and marriage, pregnancy and maternity and other socio-economic groups e.g. parents, single parents and guardians, carers, looked after children, unemployed and people on low incomes, ex-offenders, victims of domestic violence, homeless people etc.

Questions	Yes	No
Could the proposal have implications regarding the		X
accessibility of services to the whole or wider community?		
(Be mindful that this is not just about numbers. A potential to affect a		
small number of people in a significant way is as important)		
Could the proposal affect service users?		X
(Be mindful that this is not just about numbers. A potential to affect a		
small number of people in a significant way is as important)		
Has there been or is there likely to be an impact on an		X
individual or group with protected characteristics?		
(Consider potential discrimination, harassment or victimisation of		
individuals with protected characteristics)		
Have there been or likely to be any public concerns regarding		X
the proposal?		
(It is important that the Council is transparent and consultation is		
carried out with members of the public to help mitigate future		
challenge)		
Could the proposal affect how the Council's services,		X
commissioning or procurement activities are organised,		
provided, located and by whom?		
(If the answer is yes you may wish to seek advice from		
commissioning or procurement)		
Could the proposal affect the Council's workforce or		X
employment practices?		
(If the answer is yes you may wish to seek advice from your HR		
business partner)		

If you have answered no to all the questions above, please explain the reason

Consultation with the public and stakeholders was a key part of the development of the 2023 policy, and this indicated that there was no impact on those with a protected characteristic. As part of this review, consultation has been undertaken with those involved in the application and decision making process, along with previous applicants for a permit.

The policy provides guidance to those making an application, and aims to ensure consistency and transparency with regard to the application and decision making processes. The policy does not directly affect the provision of services by the Council,

House to House Collections applications would have to be determined even if a policy was not agreed. In addition, the factors that can be taken into consideration when deciding whether to grant or refuse an application for a permit are set out in legislation and therefore is Council bound by these factors and not necessarily what is detailed within the policy.

It is considered that the policy itself will have no impact on any person with a protected characteristic, human rights, the workforce or employment practices and therefore it not necessary undertake a Part B assessment.

If you have answered <u>no</u> to <u>all</u> the questions above please complete **sections 5 and 6.**

If you have answered yes to any of the above please complete section 4.

4. Considering the impact on equality and diversity

If you have not already done so, the impact on equality and diversity should be considered within your proposals before decisions are made.

Considering equality and diversity will help to eliminate unlawful discrimination, harassment and victimisation and take active steps to create a discrimination free society by meeting a group or individual's needs and encouraging participation.

Please provide specific details for all three areas below using the prompts for guidance and complete an Equality Analysis (Part B).

and complete an Equality Analysis (Part B).
How have you considered equality and diversity?
Key findings
• Actions
Date to scope and plan your Equality Analysis:
Date to complete your Equality Analysis:
Lead person for your Equality Analysis (Include name and job title):

5. Governance, ownership and approval

Please state here who has approved the actions and outcomes of the screening:			
Name	Job title	Date	
Alan Pogorzelec	Licensing Manager	06/12/24	
Diane Kraus	Principal Licensing Officer	06/12/24	

6. Publishing

This screening document will act as evidence that due regard to equality and diversity has been given.

If this screening relates to a Cabinet, key delegated officer decision, Council, other committee or a significant operational decision a copy of the completed document should be attached as an appendix and published alongside the relevant report.

A copy of <u>all</u> screenings should also be sent to <u>equality@rotherham.gov.uk</u> For record keeping purposes it will be kept on file and also published on the Council's Equality and Diversity Internet page.

Date screening completed	6/12/24
Report title and date	Review of Rotherham MBC House to House Collections Policy – 23 rd December 2024
If relates to a Cabinet, key delegated officer decision, Council, other committee or a significant operational decision – report date and date sent for publication	Officer delegated decision
Date screening sent to Performance, Intelligence and Improvement equality@rotherham.gov.uk	6/12/24